

## **Housing Monitoring Report**

## Fourth Quarter of 2015 (October-December)

## For Information

#### Introduction

The primary purpose of the quarterly Housing Monitoring Report is to monitor whether a two year supply of housing is being maintained in accordance with Policy HO1 of the Urban Area Plan. In addition, other statistics are presented to provide a more in depth analysis of the source and spatial distribution of new dwellings and the take up of planning permissions.

This monitoring report refers to the net change in new dwellings. For example, a scheme to demolish one house and replace it with five flats is counted as +4 dwellings and a scheme to demolish a house and replace it with another house involves no net gain in dwellings and is counted as 0. A dwelling is defined as a self-contained unit of accommodation, i.e. where the dwelling is designed for the occupants to share the kitchen, bathrooms and living rooms. The monitoring does not include dwellings that are within the Use Classes that include sheltered accommodation or nursing homes or similar.

#### Approvals to date (running totals)

Policy HO1 in the Urban Area Plan requires the Environment Department to ensure that a two-year housing supply is 'effectively available for housing development' at any one time. Given the current annual target of 300 additional new dwellings per year, this equates to 600 new dwellings.

Dwellings are deemed to be effectively available (in the pipeline) where planning permission has been granted but the development is not yet complete, and where the development of new housing is acceptable in principle. The figures below demonstrate the number of dwellings in the pipeline this quarter:

Full permits (work not commenced): 511 dwellings (343 urban / 168 rural)

Outline permissions: 24 dwellings (24 urban / 0 rural)

Under Construction: 317 (247 urban / 70 rural)

**Effective Housing Supply:** 852 additional dwellings (614 urban, 238 rural) are under construction or have some form of permission, representing a decrease since the third quarter of this year. The current housing supply represents a 72/28% split between the supply arising from urban and rural areas, respectively.

The total extant outline planning permissions not yet commenced is 3. These are for 4 dwellings at Admiral Park, St Peter Port, a revision to a previously approved development resulting in no net increase in the number of dwellings, also at Admiral Park, and construction of 20 dwellings at the former Warry's Bakery, Le Grand Bouet, St Peter Port.

37% of the pipeline is under construction, which represents a slight decrease when compared to 39% in the third quarter. Comparison with other jurisdictions in this respect is not always easy due to different ways in which data is collected and the frequency at which it is reported. However a number of other local authorities also monitor the percentage of their pipeline under construction and some of these figures are shown below. This indicates that our percentage figure is in line with or higher than other jurisdictions.

Jersey	January 2013	29%
Chiltern District Council	March 2013	30%
North Norfolk	March 2015	25%

#### The Parishes

The status of new housing development in the pipeline in each parish is illustrated below. The figures represent a running total and not just the permissions granted during the quarter.

Parish	Dwellings upon which work has not yet started (a) (b)		Units under construction (c)	Total Units (a) + (c)	
	All types incl. outline permissions	Permits alone (PMT+COU)	(-)	figure"	
St Peter Port	320 296		160	480	
St Sampson's	62 62		30	92	
Vale	22 22		81	103	
Câtel	32 32		12	44	
St Martin's	57 57		20	77	
St Saviour's	17 17		3	20	
Forest	4 4		4	8	
Torteval	8 8		0	8	
St Andrew's	8 8		0	8	
St Peter's	5 5		7	12	
TOTAL	535	511	317	852	

Figure 1: Planning permissions for new dwellings by Parish

#### **Fourth Quarter Statistics 2015**

#### Approvals this quarter

Full planning permission was granted for a total of 31 additional dwellings during the fourth quarter of 2015. This represents a split of 24 [77%] in the urban area and 7 [23%] in the rural area and is lower than the third quarter of 2015 where 71 new dwellings were granted permission. The largest permissions granted this quarter were for 5 new dwellings at Uplands, St Jacques, St Peter Port and also 5 new dwellings at Maison Priaulx, Mon Plaisir Apartments, Green Lanes, St. Peter Port.

No developments were granted outline planning permission this quarter.

The average number of dwellings approved per quarter over the past 3 years was 73 units. Therefore this quarter's approvals are below average. The majority of approvals granted this quarter were for new build in the urban area and there were no approvals involving the loss of any units again this quarter.

The sources of dwellings arising from this quarter's permissions are as follows:

			Urban area Rural area	
New build		10	10 0	
Re-development		6	4	10
Sub-division		2	2	4
Conversion		6	1	7
Loss of units		of units 0		0
	Total	24	7	31

Figure 2: Full planning permissions for new dwellings given this quarter by area and source

#### **Brownfield / Greenfield development**

The Urban Area Plan and Rural Area Plan only allow the development of greenfield sites in exceptional circumstances; therefore approvals on greenfield sites are closely monitored.

0 dwellings were permitted on greenfield sites this quarter.

#### **Appeal decisions**

For completeness, from 2015 the number of dwellings permitted through applications allowed following appeal decisions have been incorporated.

No new dwellings were approved on appeal this quarter.

#### Lapsed permissions

Full planning permission is generally granted for a period of three years, after which time the permission will expire, unless building works have already commenced. The data in this section therefore relates to those full planning permissions expiring in the fourth quarter of 2015 which were approved in the fourth quarter of 2012.

During this quarter, 4 full permissions lapsed without being taken up for development. This equates to a total of 5 dwellings with a split of 1 permission [25%] (2 dwellings, 40%) in the urban area and 3 permissions [75%] (3 dwelling, 60%) in the rural area. Redevelopment can relate to a replacement dwelling on a one-for-one basis so that there is no net increase or decrease of units. Therefore, the number of dwellings not built as a result of permissions for redevelopment lapsing may not necessarily reflect the number of permissions lapsing.

Figure 3 shows that the majority of lapsed permissions related to sub-division projects.

		Permissions	Permissions %	Dwellings	Dwellings %
Conversion		1	25	1	20
New Build		0	0	0	0
Redevelopment*		1	25	2	40
Subdivision		2	50	2	40
Loss of units		of units 0		0	0
Total		4	100	5	100

Figure 3: Planning permissions and dwellings lapsed this quarter, by house form

### **Long Term Trends**

## **Urban/Rural development**

Whilst the Urban Area Plan makes provision for 90% of the housing requirement to come forward in the urban area, this is not to be interpreted as a target. Figure 4 suggests that on average 70% of permissions have been granted per year, in the urban area, since 1995. It is also noticeable that since 2000 the proportion of new dwellings within the urban area is generally higher than the preceding years. 2010 is an anomaly due to the number of dwellings demolished at the Bouet, St. Peter Port.

It is useful to monitor these trends of permissions between the urban and rural area, as it helps to show the proportion of development in each area.




Figure 4: Percentage of planning permissions for new dwellings given in the urban area

## Take up of permissions and completions

Whilst the housing supply requirement in the UAP relates only to the number of permissions granted, it is also useful to monitor how many of these permissions get built (are taken up) and the rate of take up over the 3 year life of permissions. As well as some permissions not being taken up, there is also a delay between planning permissions being granted and ability to put a spade in the ground due to the requirement for other consents, completing negotiations or legal drafting or simply awaiting the availability of a builder. Monitoring this rate of take up trend informs the nature of pipeline supply and how many dwellings this is likely to result in on the ground. This information is available for a 22 year period, but for ease of presentation only information since 1996 is shown in Figure 5. It shows that after 1 year of being granted planning permission approximately a quarter of permissions for residential development are taken up, after 2 years approximately half of permissions are taken up and after 3 years over three quarters of permissions are taken up.

# Take-up of Permissions




Figure 5: Monitoring the proportion of dwellings permitted that get built

Figure 6 sets out the number of dwellings that were approved in the given year (column 2) and how many of those have been constructed or are under construction (column 3) (i.e. it does not relate to the year in which they were built). Column 4 sets out the percentage of dwellings that have been built as a proportion of the total number of dwellings granted permission. For example, of the 249 additional dwellings given permission in 2009, 219 of those are under construction or have been constructed. Because developers are given 3 years in which to start development once planning permission has been granted, the figures in columns 3 and 4 for 2012 onwards are the totals to date.

Year	No. of dwellings approved in the given year	No of dwellings constructed or under construction from the applications granted in the given year	% dwellings take up as a proportion of the total no. of dwellings granted permission
2006	258	224	87
2007	195	184	94
2008	186	177	95
2009	249	219	88
2010	97*	38*	39
2011	304	219	72
2012	349	258	82
2013	268	156	65
2014	338	148	43
2015	231	11	5
10 yr total	2358	1763	75

Figure 6: Proportion of dwellings given planning permission that get built

<sup>\*</sup>The low figure is explained by permission being granted for demolition of Le Bouet in 2010 and permission being given for the redevelopment of Le Bouet in 2011.

It is also of interest to note the proportion of planning permissions for new residential development which are implemented. This is set out in the table below. Again, because developers are given 3 years in which to start development once planning permission has been granted, the figures for 2012 onwards are the totals to date.

Year	No. of planning permissions involving new dwellings	No. of planning permissions that have been implemented from the permissions given in that year	% applications granted for new dwellings that have been implemented
2006	126	97	77
2007	87	75	86
2008	99	85	86
2009	126	94	75
2010	118	97	85
2011	122	110	90
2012	116	82	71
2013	115	57	50
2014	110	43	39
2015	92	5	5
10 yr total	1138	867	76

Figure 7: Proportion of planning applications consented for dwellings that get implemented

#### Lapsed permissions

As occurs elsewhere, a small percentage of permissions never come to fruition. This can be for a variety of reasons, such as difficulty accessing finance, a change in the market or, as is often the case, due to permission being superseded by more recent slightly different permissions for the same site.

Monitoring of this information commenced in 2014.

During this quarter a further 4 full permissions have lapsed without being taken up for development. This represents 31 full permissions or 27% of the total planning permissions granted in 2012. This equates to a total of 39 dwellings, with a split of 32 dwellings [82%] in the urban area and 7 dwellings [18%] in the rural area, and is 12% of the dwellings approved in 2012.

It is the intention to begin to display this information in table and chart form once an adequate number of entries have been collated.

# Housing Land Availability - (Figures based on Environment Department's Housing Database)

	Dwellings approved during period			Running totals at end of period						
	Full Permits	Outline consents	Total	Ar Urban	ea Rural	Full Permits & COU NOT Started	Outline consents	Total Not Started	Units under Construction	<b>Total</b> (Potential housing stock)
2011										
Jan-March	34	0	34	28	6	305	135	440	252	692
April-June	63	0	63	25	38	315	85	400	209	609
July-Sept	78	0	78	41	37	384	85	469	213	682
Oct-Dec	67	0	67	55	12	371	73	444	176	620
Total for 2011	242	0	242	149	93	371	73	444	176	620
2012										
Jan-March	146	1	147	143	3	502	63	565	151	716
April-June	43	19	62	48	14	287	80	367	338	705
July-Sept	86	0	86	82	4	354	49	403	348	751
Oct-Dec	105	0	105	59	46	422	47	469	327	796
Total for 2012	380	20	400	332	67	422	47	469	327	796
2013										
Jan-March	51	0	51	36	15	392	19	411	291	702
April-June	72	0	72	59	13	401	19	420	242	662
July-Sept	108	4	112	74	38	384	23	407	285	692
Oct-Dec	86	0	86	60	26	377	23	400	336	736
Total for 2013	317	4	321	229	92	377	23	400	336	736
2014										
Jan-March	68	3	71	25	46	410	26	436	343	779
April-June	98	0	98	61	37	472	26	498	332	830
July-Sept	85	0	85	87	9	440	26	466	312	778
Oct-Dec	95	0	95	79	16	488	26	514	331	845
Total for 2014	346	3	349	252	108	488	26	514	331	845
2015										
Jan-March	40	0	40	26	14	501	26	527	303	830
April-June	69	0	69	55	14	490	4	494	363	857
July-Sept	71	20	91	85	6	525	24	549	356	905
Oct-Dec	31	0	31	24	7	511	24	535	317	852
Total for 2015	211	20	231	190	41	511	24	535	317	852

<sup>•</sup> Please note that the number in the 'Total for 200X' row (from 2010-2012) is smaller than the apparent aggregate for that year. This is because the 'Total' figure weeds out duplicate applications approved for any one scheme during that year. It is not uncommon for several permissions to be issued for a single scheme as plans are amended either before or during construction. A change in methodology negates this from 2013 onward.

