

QUESTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE 11 OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE

From	Deputy J.A.B. Gollop
To	President, Committee <i>for</i> Economic Development
Subject	Future policies relating to economic transport links
Date of questions	14 th May, 2019
States' Meeting	22 nd May, 2019

Question 1

Is the Committee *for* Economic Development actively engaging currently with Policy & Resources Members, and the current ferry operator Condor to ensure that satisfactory service levels, speed, passenger, car, tourism and freight capacities can be sustained this season and year despite alleged unreliability of the vulnerable "Liberation" services?

Question 2

Given that the new tourism strategy has been indefinitely postponed will policies from Economic Development be scoped to decide what transport infrastructure best suits viable and sustainable tourism and visitor transportation?

Question 3

The States distinctly last month did not vote to reject and rebuff any consideration of a significant airport runway extension option. Why then has the Economic Development Committee been reported as no longer giving resources or attention to this key workstream a political majority of the board supported just a few weeks ago?

Question 4

Can the Economic Development Committee unite behind a shorter RESA airport runway extension model as suggested by Deputy Jan Kuttelwascher on more or less the current footprint?

Question 5

Will the Economic Development Committee be actively consulting with business and tourism hospitality organisations on what transport infrastructure would best suit business trends aspirations and prospects?

Question 6

Will the Committee be working actively with Jersey on joint transport initiatives especially in relation to triangular air routes and passenger sea services?