

STATES OF DELIBERATION

25th September 2013

Billet d'Etat XIX

AMENDMENT No. I

Proposed by: Deputy M H Dorey
Seconded by: Deputy M J Storey

Treasury and Resources Department **Capital Prioritisation**

To delete Proposition 1 and replace it with the following propositions:

“1. Subject to Proposition 1A below, to approve that category A and B projects, as detailed in that Report, are classified as pipeline projects for Capital Reserve funding and direct that further work be undertaken by Departments to develop each project’s specifications, following an option appraisal, and refine their costs.

1.A. To approve that the project described as “Re-Profiling of PEH wards and departments” in the table in paragraph 31 of that Report be deemed a Category B project.”

Explanatory Note

States Members will be sent a paper entitled “Why Reconfigure the PEH?”, which explains in detail the case for prioritising this project. This amendment would allow the reprofiling project to be prioritised and progressed within the timeframe 2014 – 2017.

STATES OF DELIBERATION

25th September, 2013

Billet d'État No. XIX

AMENDMENT

Proposed by: Deputy E G Bebb

Seconded by: Deputy L S Trott

Treasury and Resources Department: Capital Prioritisation

To insert an additional proposition as follows:

- “9. To agree that, notwithstanding the different funding model, the Category D projects, as detailed in that Report, should be considered pipeline projects of the States Capital Investment Portfolio and should be subject to the same oversight as all other pipeline projects; and to direct the Treasury and Resources Department to report back to the States on the detailed governance and financial approval arrangements for these projects by the end of June 2014.”.

STATES OF DELIBERATION

25th September, 2013

Billet d'État No. XIX

AMENDMENT

Proposed by: Deputy E G Bebb

Seconded by: Deputy L S Trott

Treasury and Resources Department: Capital Prioritisation

To insert an additional proposition as follows:

- “9. To agree that, notwithstanding the different funding model, the Category D projects, as detailed in this Report, will be subject to the same multi criteria analysis as all other capital bids with those gaining 75% or higher progressing to Gateway 1 in the same manner as those in Category A and Category B; and those projects scoring less than 75% being treated in the same manner as projects in Category C.”.

Explanatory Note

Were this amendment to pass the following projects would progress in the same manner as those items on Category A and Category B:

Housing Stock Modernisation Programme	(Housing)
Housing Development Programme	(Housing)
Waste Strategy	(PSD)

These projects would not progress in the same manner as those items on Category C:

Water Resources	(PSD)
Water Treatment	(PSD)
Water Distribution	(PSD)
Sewerage	(PSD)
Airport – Equipment	(PSD)
Harbours – Commercial Operations	(PSD)
Harbours – Fish Quay Refurbishment and Enhancements	(PSD)
Harbours – Master Plan Implementation	(PSD)
Harbours – Leisure	(PSD)
Harbours – Marinas	(PSD)
Harbours – Safety at Sea	(PSD)

STATES OF DELIBERATION

26th September 2013

Billet d'Etat XIX

AMENDMENT

Proposed by: Deputy D DeG De Lisle
Seconded by: Deputy J A B Gollop

Treasury and Resources Department
Capital Prioritisation

To insert at the end of the words in Proposition 1: “, subject to the deletion of Belle Greve Wastewater Outfall from the Category A projects listed in the table in paragraph 28 of that Report”.